Previous references.

File No. 223

Serial Nos. 16

1909.
(Telegram)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 169

Part B. for Appeal

SUBJECT.

Application for the Brahmans and Thammadars of Gurtalu in the Vizagapatam District regarding the Collection of the Cart Festival offerings and Distribution of Vencateswaraswami Cart offerings at Gurtalu.

Appeal against the orders of the District Magistrate of Vizagapatam granting license to Thammadis in preference to Brahmans for the conduct of the Cart festival

G.O. No. 1158 dated 2nd July 1909. Rejected as the Petitioners have not complied with the conditions laid down in the Government Order

G.R.S.11] 1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police) [illegible]

NOTES.

File No. 62:09
Misc. 223-09

FILE HEADING.

Appeal against the order of the Dt. Magte. of Mysore granting licence to Iammadies to conduct the car procession of Arlaswaraswami of Guttalu village in the Hunsur Taluk.

From

Viswesvariah & Gundappa Advs. Mr. B. Srinivasaraghavachar

No. Dated 21.9.09 Received 23.9.09

Diary No. 1777	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals for reasons stated therein against the Mysore Dt. Magte's order granting a licence to the Iammadies to conduct the car procession of Arlaswaraswami of Guttalu in the Hunsur Taluk & pray that the car procession which is to take place on 27.9.09 may be stopped pending the decision of this appeal.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

[illegible]

[illegible]
24.9.09

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 223 of 1907-10

Serial
No.

Subject. This is an appeal against the orders of the D.C. Magistrate of Mysore and as such is one for disposal in the Jud. Dept.

Submitted for orders
[illegible] 2.

For orders under R. Br. III
a case similar in character
was disposed of by G. O. (Rev.
dispatched) 5 G. O. (Rev.
Abstract V
73/a/1905 G.O.S. Rv. VII.

Subm. for orders with
preceding & references. The answers to
letter, 2nd Councillors queries on the
petition will be found on page 8 of
Police file no 1 of 07
B.S.
24.9.09 The District Magte has
ordered the issue of a license

Note.—Contn. sheet

[illegible]—85,000—27-4-08

Serial No.

Evidently under Sec 45 of the Police Regn, 1908. An order passed under that Section by a Dist: Magte is not appealable to Govt, though the Govt have not discontinued the practice of receiving such appeal petitions. In no case however has the order of the D.M. been set aside after the passing of the Police Reg (The rules under the old Regular Police rules were more elastic and Govt have in several instances reversed the orders of the Dt. Magts in such matters)

Please see discussions in the Leg. Council at the time this very matter came up for discussion

In issuing or refusing Arms licenses in such matters Dt Magts are expected to give Effect, as far as the maintenance of the public peace would allow) - to pre-existing manual or Custom

Looking therefore at the matter from a purely Police or Judicial point of view, the order of the Dt. Magte in this case - whether Correct or otherwise Can not be interfered with.

At the same time the question had to be Considered from another

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 190

Serial No.

respectively. The institution is a Muzrai one and it may therefore be considered whether Govt have any authority to call upon the Dy Commr to stop the procession pending a full enquiry into the manner. This matter will kindly be noted on by the Muzrai Branch to which the file is transferred. (Please note that the procession is fixed for the 27th inst and the Councillor has ordered that the file shd be submitted with reports atonce. Reports available in this branch have been sent up.)

28/9/12.

To Branch VII)

Marginal Note No. 20.

Submitted with Muzrai file No. 14 of 1899, 13 of 1899 & 69 of 1903. The institution is a Muzrai one as borne out by the [illegible]

[illegible]

× Dy K.C.P. 0-13-12 Assessment Rs a 9-1-6

Wet. 2-16-8. 3-10-4 21-13-1 30-14-7

Note—Contg. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. Elecy 223 of 1909-10

Serial No.

Un-service in all Temples where non-Brahmins Worship. Have certificate from Un'Kotas and Boys have to be authorised to present the petition

Perhaps a similar appeal is pending in the Civil Court, Bangalore Suit, and it seems to me that it is not the D.C. Temple who can decide what penalty can be inflicted but can prosecute in accordance with his trade but can take necessary action as D.C. Temple before Civil Court as breach of the peace.

1938

Execution may be stayed pending his appeal to the Civil Court

11

7/9/09

This is a Murphy case

The ~~pro~~ ceremony may be stopped pending orders on this appeal. Send for records & serve notice to the other party.

11 24/9/09

Telegram sent at 5/30 Rs. as directed by the Committee. Draft letter to Dy Comm. submitted.

Notices will be issued after the Records are received from the Dy Comm

Sues

11/9/09

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Price File No. 62 of 1909
Chengalpattu 223

Serial No.

To, The Dy Commr, Tirupore Distt

Letter no 883 Dy 24-9-09

Forwards a Post copy of the Telegram and requests that the Car-procession may be stopped pending the disposal of the appeal and calls for the records connected with the case together with a detailed report

From, Thaumadr Sivalingiah

Petition Dy 5-10-09

States that the temple being a non-mirasi one it the Revenue Dept have no right to interfere in the matter and prays for the Cancellation of the ad-interim order issued by us.

The petr has admitted that the temple owns a small joda land

The petition is received into the following order of the 2nd ult —

"2 cannot pass another ad interim order. If the record is not come, send an urgent reminder and get it very early. Under - Secretary's order - "Send Telegram to-day" Is Dated 9/10/09

Submitted

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—27 4 09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 190

Serial No.

The Case will be heard on 1st & 2nd Nov. Give notice to both parties.

(It has to be decided whether the matter is to be dealt with in the Jud., or Police or Instr. Dept.)

12/10/09

Let be taken up accordingly

16/10/09

* In the meanwhile Enquire in the Mussoorie Branch whether this is a Mussoori institution or not

16/10/09.

The Institution is a Mussoori institution but the matter has been disposed of by the Town Comm. Officer as a Police matter & may this Case be Considered as both "Police and Mussoori" and disposed of accordingly?

18/10/09

See 1/2 precedent = may be = both matter for = its in the public interest

* 2 See this has been noted on the last page. Send the file to Mussoorie 16/10/09

(p3)

sheet.

GPB—50 000—18-5-08

Serial No.

But as the subject matter concerns the Mysore ~~Govt~~ also, that Govt may be consulted thereon previously Dewan -

P.S. This may sometimes necessitate both Counsellors (Dewan & Mysore Rep.) acting in the final decision, ~~which~~ in case of an adverse

2038 19/10/09

It seems to me that the question of this license qua license is not the proper Govt to consider the interference by Govt -

2 - Sec 45 of the Madras Waters & Upkeep of Precessions Assemblies - But in this Case the matter of contention is the right to Consecrate the Car & Conduct the Car procession & I am not sure that the Distt Magistrate can be regarded as falling under this section - It may be understood G.M. In that ~~Consent~~, it cannot be interfered with ~~whether as a matter of fact~~ Whatever be the party who is as a matter of fact entitled to Consecrate the Car & Conduct the procession -

3 - As the Temple

sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 123 of 1909-10

Serial No.

Is a Temple under Murrai Management It may be competent for the Murrai Deptt to inquire into the case & declare which of the contending parties is entitled to consecrate the car & conduct the procession - At the same time it does not follow that it is necessary for the Murrai Deptt in every case in which it is competent that it should so inquire & declare -

4 If the 2d Committee thinks it necessary to determine the rights of the parties in the case giving rise to Section 144 a Copy of the decision might be sent to the Collector of Madura in Murrai Deptt. Whether the local Magistracy will be able to enforce these rights without excessive expense & demonstration of force is a different matter - They are only concerned in preserving the peace -

Submitted to order

31/1/10

2474

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
& Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.

4. Let the petr be asked to appear before me on the 19th inst.

F. Jany-
5/1/10

which have remained for disposal
6/1

Issue notice to petr
atmr
5/1/10.

2 Transfer to Revenue Musroi Branch for further action. (Please see Dewan's order)
6/1/10

6/1/

Draft notice submitted 2221-23
6/1/10 8/1/1910

Fees - 11

25 Mr D. Venkataramayya for petrs

Mr. B Ramanna for Opposite

Mr V. intends that the Customs of Brahmans worshipping here & Consecrating the Car

ntn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jaffna File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.

has been ~~made~~ proved and is in accordance with the custom of other places. (The copper plate inscription is not admissible in evidence).

Mr. Ramanna contends that the order is magisterial & cannot be interfered with & that the alleged custom is not made out.

The petrs should make good their case.

The lapa-patrika not of much significance.

Let me have a note summarising the evidence produced on either side.

19/1/10

19/1/1910

F

Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

Note Continued

The Sukeswaraswamy temple at Gunthalu in the Mandya Taluk is a Muzrai institution endowed with the Nanjanalla-Kotai and Inam lands

S no	extent A G	assessment	
539	10 20 (Dry)	11-00	27-10
37, 237, 248	5 35 (wet)	31-8 0	

Shanmans are the superior and enjoy the Inam lands

2) The Car of the temple - a car, fell into disrepair the procession has not been celebrated since 50 years. Recently it was repaired at a cost of Rs 3000/ towards which the Brahmins and all the Hindus Contributed In consultation with the astrologer they also fixed the 2nd day of the dark fortnight of Sravana of the year Karanga i.e 27th June 1909 for the car procession These are admitted facts

2 31 It is not clear what occurred after the fixing of the date on 26th June 1909 The Brahmins complained to the Taluk Magistrate that the Shanmans wanted to perform the religious ceremonies themselves and celebrate the Car procession without a license the Shanmans were bound over not to celebrate without a license They applied for a license on 2nd July 1909 on account was said

(Say A)

Serial No.

by the Police Inspector of Mandya The marginally-noted persons said that they did not know what the Mamul was and that they had no objection either to the Brahmins or the Thammadis performing the religious ceremonies-

(x Hag B)

Name - Caste - Age.

Sidveera Setty - Swachar - 35
Rajaeerse Gowda - Vakkaligar - 50
Venkatachalu - Aksale - 60
Dodda Heggade - " - 40

Hag C)

Name - Age

Shambhoque Krishnah - 80
do - Viswesvaraiah - 38
Subbiah - 40 yrs
Shan Krishnah -
Subrahmanyah -
Ramappa - 36 yrs
Narasimhiah - 46 yrs.

The marginally-noted Brahmins admitted that the Thammadis were the Pujaris and also the other facts noted above, but added that the Brahmins only were entitled to perform Hedekankatte services, that the Car-festivities lasted for 11 days and were being conducted by Brahmins according to Saiva Agama, that Belur Devaiah (who died 40 years ago) was conducting them in the olden days when the Car-festival was being celebrated about 50 years ago, That at Nudukatte in the T. Narasipur Taluk and Nowle in the Channarayapatna Taluk, the Car festivals etc are conducted by Brahmins though the Thammadis perform Puje daily, that it is usual to permit Brahmins to perform special worship in Temples where Thammadis and Saka

(is about 2 miles from Gundlu)

Serial No.

perform Puje generally, that there is no
Vogue in the Eswara and Bane Shankara
Temples at Kundagandur and Narasimha
Swamy Temple at Sakrepatna in the Mandya
Taluk, and that though Car-procession
stopped long ago in Guttalu they have
performed special worship whenever
there was ~~special~~ occasion such as drought etc,
Thammadi Pujaris
that when one of the ~~Arabaks~~ performed
Puje after having been assaulted with
a slipper, the Brahmins performed
Samprokshane, that the jatra attracts
Brahmins and that the local
Brahmins perform Samaradhane

(vide Flag D)
Name - Age
Chikkalinge Gowda - 75 yrs.
~~Kanaiyagowda~~ - 70
Kenche Gowda

3= The marginally noted Vakkaligas* belonging to the faction opposed to the Thammadis and Dyavarse Gowda Corroborate Brahmins about Belur Dyaneayya's officiating at previous jatras, about the Samprokshane by Anantarama Jois and about the Samaradhane Conducted by Brahmins and say that even now Brahmins should celebrate.

55 Anandarama Jois aged 44 corrobo* rates about Samprokshane and say that his father once, and he, twice

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial
No.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rmz File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.	Name — Caste — Age
(3)	Venkatachari — Panchala — 65 yrs.
(4)	garaane Gowda — — 70 yrs
(5)	Siddanna — — 60 yrs. (The deposition has been signed by Setty Basappa)
(6)	Chowda Setty — Kumbhara — 70 yrs
(7)	Kulle Gowda — Vakkaliga — 70 yrs
(8)	Chikka Bore Gowda — do — 60 yrs
(9)	Bomma Kempe Gowda — do — 85 yrs
(10)	Dene Gowda — do — 70 yrs
(11)	Linge Gowda — do — 55 yrs
(12)	Mayaga — 80 yrs
(13)	Basava Setty — 65 yrs
(14)	garaanayi — Bestha —
(15)	Malukote — Hajam —
(16)	Venkata Setty — Ganiga

These say that the Shanwadis and not Brahmins would officiate at the jatras and that the Lalle never worshipped The II^d witness has added that Brahmins visit the temple and present Dakshina &c The III^d witness is a Bhandikar whose services on the occasion of a car-festival are indispensable. The IVth witness is a Desadia Setty The XIVth witness says he was holding torches.

Sivalingiah as the spokesman

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

of the Thammadis said that the Brahmins never worshipped and relied upon the practice in the Siddalingeswara Swamy Temple at yedeyur, Shibba Devi Temple at Nugur, Hounadevi Temple at Losale and Revana Siddeswara Temple in the closest Sub-Taluk

3) The enquiry was continued on 10th march 1908 when the following witnesses were examined:—

Name — Caste — Age

1) Viswesvaraiah — Brahmin — 74 yrs
2) Bore Gowda — Vakkaligar — 70 yrs
3) Giriappa — Brahmin — 62 yrs
4) Malukote — Hajam — 60 yrs.
5) Ramiah — Brahmin — 53 yrs
6) Kenche Gowda — Vakkaliga — 70 yrs
7) Ninge Gowda — do — 70 yrs.
8) Anandarama Jois — Brahmin — 44 yrs
9) Kuppanengar — do — 73 yrs
10) Narayana Deekshith — do — 26 yrs.
11) Kesava Bhatta — do — 38 yrs
12) Sidde Gowda — Vakkaligar — 40 yrs
13) Viswanathiah — Brahmin — 75 yrs.
14) Krishniah — do — 78 yrs.
15) Kempa — Gangadikara Gowda — 40 yrs.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Of these, the 1st knows nothing about the Guttalu Temple and says that at Mudagandur Though Lingayets worship daily Brahmins after Samprokshane perform Abhisheka for drought etc The 2d witness says that the Brahmins were bringing the idol to the Car and that Madya Rama Bhatta performed Rudra Abhisheka The 3d witness says that Devayya was performing Car-festival about 25 years ago and that Rama Bhatta performed Abhisheka for drought The 4th witness is a musician (వంక తంబుర) of the Temple and supports the Dhammadis. The 5th witness is Ramah of Belur referred To in Para above. The 6th witness supports the Brahmins but adds that the Dhammadis would also sit on the car The 7th witness while supporting the Brahmins, says that they would worship in mantapa to which the Dhammadis would bring the idol and that the Dhammadis would take it to the car also The 8th witness is Anantarama Jois referred to in Para above and says that he performed Abhisheka

Page 5

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Srirangam File No. 223 of 1907

Serial No.

for drought on two occasions The 9th witness says that Deviah was worshipping on car-festival day. The 10th witness performed Abhisheka once for drought and Lamprokshane also The 11th witness saw 10th witness performing Abhisheka The 12th witness saw Ramajois performing Abhisheka. The 13th witness says that Devanayya would sit on the car and that the Dharmadars would present The Sarpa Vastra offered by the others The 14th witness says that Devanayya would take part during the 10 days of the yatra The 15th witness saw Abhishekha for drought once.

41 On 11-3-08, the Dharmadars presented a written Statement - with which they produced the following documents :—

(Flag H) 1. Mahazar of Dharmadarsis of Iswara temple at Tarekere

(Flag I) 2. Amildar Venkata Rao's order

(Flag J) 3. Copper plate of 1576

(Flag K) 4. Amildar Mr Guru Rao's order dated 8-2-1896

(Flag L) 5. No 1 is to the effect that till 1889, Komara Deekshitha was performing

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

that then the Civil Courts decided in favour of the Lingayets who had since been performing and that the latter practice prevails in the Chandra Mouleswara Temple attached to the Hunsamaranahalli matt in the Devana halli Taluk and also in the Closepet Sub-Taluk.

6. Document No 2 refers to non-Brahmins as Pujaries

4. Document No 4 is to the effect that in Connection with the Complaint of assault with a slipper, of one of the Thamnadi Pujaries, no Samprokshana was necessary as the case was not made out. This falsifies the allegation of the Brahmins.

4. The Amildar after enquiry submitted a report to the Sub Divl Officer thus:—

+Flag M

"There is no doubt whatever that
"the Sivachars have exclusive right of
"worship in the Temple at all times.
"Whether such right is subject to non
"exercise during Rathotsava period is
"not conclusively proved. Both the
"Brahmins and the Sivachars have

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

"equally contributed towards the construction of the new car and it is "but right on the part of the "Sivachars — despite their alleged "exclusive hak — to yield to the wishes "of the Brahmins by allowing Brahmanical Agama and other ceremonies "being performed during the car-"festival But all my attempts to "persuade them have proved unfructuous. Under the circumstances, "the only way To bring the parties "to their senses and arrive at "an amicable settlement, will be "to inform them that no license "will be given to either party to "conduct the car-festival unless "and until they come to an agree"-ment between themselves and "submit the same to the Court "or settle their claim in a Civil "Court and produce the decree "of such court."

4.5 The Sub Divnl Officer after recording the Statements of the chief persons and looking into the accounts produced audited them —

+ May N)

Serial No.

"The temple for which such a
"large amount of subscription has
"been raised and misappropriated
"by these people is a Muzrai insti-
"and as such these people must
"be ordered to be criminally pro-
"secuted in case of their failing to
"furnish proper accounts supported
"by genuine Vouchers. As for granting
"license for celebrating the Car festival
"I agree with my predecessors and
"Amildar that until the factious
"disputes are settled either ami-
"cably or in a civil court, no
"license could be granted The car
"has to be taken through the
"Brahmin street and unless it
"(car) is consecrated by regular
"Vedic ceremonies by Agamikas,
"there could be no procession in the village
"All that the other faction which has
"as much right as the other for the
"worship in this Muzrai temple
"want is that the car must be
"consecrated by an Agamika only
"on the day of the car procession
"and worship must be performed

Serial No.

"by him until the God is taken
"and placed on the car. Such
"manual exists even in such important
"Temples like Nundakatore in the
"T. narasipur Taluk and other temples
"where the daily worship is performed
"by Sivachar Thammadis. The Thamma-
"dis of the temple in question
"showed an inclination to agree to
"such an arrangement, but were
"no doubt instigated by Devarsé
"Gowda not to yield Hence I am
"for refusing to grant the license
"applied for until the rights of
"the parties are established in
"a competent court and for pro-
"secuting the persons who have
"committed breach of trust with
"respect to the monies collected
"for the benefit of a public
"Mugnai inster. The records will
"be submitted to the Dy. Comm.
"for perusal and orders."

6. The Distt Magistrate on 13.8.09
passed an order in these terms:—

Hag 6)

"It is futile on the part of
"the local Brahmins to contend that

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,0-31—27-4-09

Serial No.

"the car should be consecrated by a
"Brahmin Agamika alone and not the
"Sivachar Thanmadis who have all along
"been worshipping in the temple. The majority
"of the Villagers who are devotees of this
"Deity are in favour of the Thanmadis
"Consecrating the car and holding the
"procession. This is only reasonable.
"The insty is primarily a sivachar
"one and as such the right of the
"Thanmadis to take part in any
"ceremony relating to the insty cannot
"be questioned.

"It seems to me that the Shanbhogues
"fearing they would lose their local
"influence, if they did not insist upon
"a Brahmin consecrating the car in
"question, have purposely raised this
"frivolous and vexatious "takrar". If
"the Brahmin Shanbhogues still
"think that they have a good case
"to fight, there is not the slightest
"objection to their doing so in a
"proper and competent Court.
"But this is no reason why the
"peters should be refused the license
"they have applied for, for celebrating

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

"The car festival. They have waited
"long enough and they must get
"the same at once.

"It is seen from the exhibits
"filed by the petrs that in other
"Distts such as Bangalore and Bellary
"the consecration of cars in Lingayat
"temples are being done by Agamikas
"of their own community and not
"by Brahmins.

"The Amildar is therefore
"directed to grant the petrs Sivalingiah
"and others the license they have
"applied for and see that the Brahmins
"of the place do not misconduct themselves

The Brahmins have now appealed

To Govt.
363 9/4/1908

1) Find out from the Inamdar's office if the inam to the temple was supported by any sanad. If such a document is available it may throw some light.

2) I wish also to know if the Agamikas we have can show me any passages referring to placing

GPB—55,000—27.4.99

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.	
	New Idols first on Cars, — whether any particular Ceremonies are prescribed — whether there is any prohibition against Cars not consecrated by Veda Mantras. H 14/2/10 Early — 1 Please furnish draft. GL 15/2/10 Submitted with a Dft to the Inam Supdt AV 15/2/10 GL Inam 15/2/11
5.	D/ To, The Supdt of Inam Settlements. Letter no 2066 Dt 17 2 1910 Enquiries if the Inam to the Temple was supported by a Sanad
6.	D. From, the same officer, Letter no 69 Dt 23 2 1910 Replies in the negative and forwards the Inam extract.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—27 4 09

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿನಂತಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು

4-3-10

G.R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.

3043

The Registrar is on leave. The Agent to Pandharpur (i.e. the Subordinate Judge, Pandharpur) has furnished this opinion. In the meantime the Thasildar and Panjari's panel may be called for early orders & they for good & sufficient reasons can be expected during the Diwali vacation to be submitted to the Court.

4/4 Asst Registrar "V".

8/3/1910

[The Nizam Chief Court Report No XII of 1907 is herewith submitted. Attention is respectfully invited to page 455 thereof.] Q.

8/3/1910

53 There is no doubt that the ordinary Pujaris of the Temple are Jangamdis. This is not denied on the part of the Brahmans. But they claim that on special occasions they have a right to enter the Temple and worship the idol, and that on the occasion of consecrating a new rather, they should perform the initial ceremonies. The evidence has not been

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPT—55,000—27.4.09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revs File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.

1/ The draft may be approved

The case is one that illustrates the unfortunate rift that is widening between the Brahmans and other Castes in respect of such matters. We have little doubt that the matter would have been settled amicably at other times, by allowing the initial ceremony to be performed by Brahmans, as in such cases, all other castes except of late the Lingayats, would accept the ceremony as sufficient for them; whereas there would be considerable difficulty for Brahmans to be satisfied with the ~~worship~~ temple carried on by others. This would be a hardship to them especially in cases, where the temple is of note, and is perhaps the only Siva or Vishnu temple in the village.

2/ Still when disputes of this nature come before the Public authorities, they have to be decided on ~~reason~~ evidence of Manual, and though in the case of events ~~like~~ (like the one in dispute) that can possibly take place only

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revs File No. 223 of 1909

Serial No.

4. The draft may be approved

The case is one that illustrates the unfortunate rift that is widening between the Brahmans and other Castes in respect of such matters. I have little doubt that the matter would have been settled amicably at other times, by allowing the initial ceremony to be performed by Brahmans, as in such cases, all other castes except of late the Lingayats, would accept the ceremony as sufficient for them; whereas there would be considerable difficulty for Brahmans to be satisfied with the ~~poojah~~ puja carried on by others. This would be a hardship to them especially in cases, where the temple is of note, and is perhaps the only Siva or Vishnu temple in the village.

5) Still when disputes of this nature come before the Public authorities, they have to be decided on ~~reasons~~ evidence of Manual, and though in the case of events ~~the~~ (like the one in dispute) that can possibly take place only

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

at rare intervals, it is exceedingly difficult or almost impossible to adduce satisfactory evidence, we cannot help throwing the burden of proof on the claimants.

4. The enquiry has not been conducted in a satisfactory manner as it was done partly by the Amildar & partly by the Asstt Commr. ~~All the~~ Documents like the Sásanes & Inámdis have been taken as evidence without any attempt to prove their genuineness. But there is hardly any use in directing a fresh enquiry or in giving time for resort to Civil Courts — as no better evidence is likely to be produced. I may also add that as the claim is not to be payable of a temple, the suit would be cognisable by the Civil Courts.

9/4 Cibson Dsouza

11/3/10

heet.

5021 15.3.10 BPG—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.	
	Subd to undersecy for perusal with five copies & a Rapt Rem: Endt & appl. RL 8/8/10 Issue as submitted by Secy in Council 9/8/1910
7	Memorial of [illegible] for [illegible]
8.	No 487/M 7223-8 [illegible] & Draft Rn [illegible] that Govt in [illegible] in the case & that [illegible] be kept free to [illegible] he may.
9.	Endt No 458 & 17-8-10 & others to the Govt Engr & " Endt No 459 & [illegible] forwarding [illegible]

The orders were issued, and the file has been ordered to be subd to the Dy Comptroller. RL 17.8.10

Submitted to the Secy in Council 17/8/1910

Seen — 18/8/10

Submit to Dewan Bahadur with reference to Dy Comptroller's [illegible] 23/8/1910

7500 23.8.10

File recd back from Dewan Office 27.8.10 RL

GPB—55,000—27.4.09 29.8.10

G. R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The references put up by Br III detached & the file returned to Br VII

B.S. 29.8.10 SN 30/8/10

The file was / now be sent

B.S. 2/9/10

4/9/10

5/9/1910

B

3 4.)

GPB—No.000—27 4.09

Under what rules or law has the Govt in the Home or Police or Govt Dept interfered — such cases? Please submit from every Dist. Magistrate

1909-10

1. [illegible]

2. [illegible] 50-60[illegible]

3. [illegible] 3000[illegible]

4. [illegible]

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 12 ಎಸ್‌ಎಲ್‌ಆರ್ 2015
ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 12 ಎಸ್‌ಎಲ್‌ಆರ್ 2015
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 27-6-2015

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳ ಮುಂದೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಹುದ್ದೆ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆಯಾದ ಹುದ್ದೆ

1. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

2. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

3. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

4. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

5. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

6. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

7. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

8. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

9. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

10. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

11. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

12. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹೇಶ್ ಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

19 ಅ ನೇ —19 ಇ ನೇ ಇನು ಆಕ್ಟೋ ನಂಬರು.

ಆಕ್ಟೋ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ

ಮಾ। ರಾ। ವರಿಗೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮ್ಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಸರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮ್ಮಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸಾಮಾನು ಪುಸ್ತಕ ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 29-9-04 ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯುಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮುಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇರ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೊ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರ್ತೇನೆ.

advocate

ಈ ಪೇಪರಿಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಿವಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳಂದೂರು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಕೇಪಿಂ ರಿಯಂ, ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನವರ ದೊರಿಯುತ್ತೆ.

of the Car. The Procession of the Deities
does not go to the adjacent Temple, but
Iron brahmin carries the Image round
-cribed towards the ...

Next, he can make a
Consecrate the local Malecca with
blow on the Shankhapa of ... Nalu and
the neighbouring villages, and object
to the Shanmadias during ... ceremony although they admit the long-standing
right of these people to ... the "Pali"
in the Temple. That the Shanmadias alone
have been performing the worship in the
Temple in question from time immemorial
is amply borne out by the "Kalikala" tra-
-dition by them, particularly the Kalkar-palate
inscription which is as old as Saliwahana
Saka 1576. This inscription leaves no room
of doubt as to the right to the exclusive
people to worship in the Temple to the exclu-
-sion of the brahmins.

This fatile on the part of the
local brahmins to say that the Car
should be consecrate by a brahmin as
alone and not the Sivachar Shanmadias
who have all along been worshipping in
the temple. The majority of the villagers in

Consecration of Cemeteries are hereby done by Magistrates - but the Consecration of Churches by Bishops.

The Practice is therefore illegal & unjustifiable and the licence - has no effect for and the Chancellor - Bishops of the place should order Consecration.

13/2/1904

/Signed/ [illegible]
Notary Public

Copy/2
[illegible]

Certified copy
Application for Licence on 18.9.04.
Petition - - - - 1-
Letter Recommending - - - - 0-6
Petition & Certificate Stamps impressed - 1-

No. of words. - 510

Copying fee Rs. 1-9-0
Comparing fee 0-2-0

Total 0-11-0

709 ನಂ. ಸಿ. ಆರ್. ಬಿ.
19-1-10
ಸಿ. ಪಂ. ಪ್ರ.

ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಫೀ ರೂ.

ಸ್ಮಾ ೧೯೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರು.

ಅ ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಇದರಿಂದ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಜವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರಿವಿಗೂ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿನ್ಸ್ಟರ್ ರಿಗೆ ವಕಾಲತ್ತು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

೨ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಜವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾವಿಧವಾದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರೀಮಾಡಿ, ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುನಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಬಂದಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ರಶೀತಿಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ರೀಫಂಡಾದ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ವಾಪಸುತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜುಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸುಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕು ಸಹ ಇದರಿಂದ ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

೩ ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ನೀವಾಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಸುತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ವಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ

ಒಪ್ಪಿದೇನೆ.

ಸಹಿಗಾರನು ಬಲ್ಲವನಾಗಿದ್ದಾನೆ.

ನನ್ನೆ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣವಿಲಾಸ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

G.R.S 294] 1909.

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Mysore D.

Despatched 24 9 1909

Received

General No. 834

No. Mny F 223-09-2

Enclosure No. ---

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the telegram Genl. Dyson today regarding the stoppage of the car procession of the Arkeswaraswami temple at Guttalu in the Madras Salik

I have &c
Visweswariah

MGCPT—20,000—6-2-05

Others have appealed
against your
order as Dt. Magistrate
granting a license
to the Mammadis ~~for~~
permitting the car
procession. Pending
orders on the appeal,
I am to request you to
stop the ceremony
and to submit the
records connected with
the case together with
a detailed report

I have te

87
24/9/(Ch)

for Secy

to Dr Dist

Telegram

Draft.

Ordinary

From

The Secretary to Govt
General & Rev: Dept to

Do,

The Deputy
Commr
Mysore Dt

Please stop car procession
of Guttalu Ankeswara Mandya
Taluk twenty seventh instant +
order follows

24. 9. 1909.
Bangalore

Dft

24/9/09

Telegraphed under orders
of the H Councillor

Urgent Dept

Investigated by Mr. B. Ramana

I cannot pass any orders on this interim order. Send an extract of the records to Survey Land and get the report early. Urgent

4/10/09

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

7 [illegible]

(1)

Vakalatnama
4 — 10 909
Received

..... No..... of

In the Court of ....

Petitioner Sivalingayya

Vs.

I Sivalingayya ....

the ..... in the ..... Court

do hereby appoint B. RAMANNA, Esq., B. A., LL. B., High Court Vakil and Advocate ....

to conduct it for me and on my behalf, and to do all the acts and appearances necessary for the same ....

In witness whereof I have set my hand this ....

ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ

Signature.

I certify that this Vakalatnama was signed in my presence and accept it

..... Advocate.

Telegram sent to the Deputy Commissioner today and to request that the submission of the report already called for together with the Records may kindly be expedited ~~without further delay~~.

By order,

S. McMaus

For Secretary

HWB

Telegram

Ordinary.

From,

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Revenue
Depts

To,
The Dy Commr,
Bangalore Dist.

Please expedite report with records - car procession at Guttahalli Ankenwada Mariyappa Talk

Dft)

Secretary

5-10-09
Bangalore

Sent Telegram & note to the file 5/10/09
to A.V

VII

1909 W 1751

From

The Archæological Surveyor
Mysore

To K. S. Chandrasekharaya Esq
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government
of Mysore
General & Rev. Depts

Dated

Despatched 7th October 1909

Received

General No. 2673
No.

Enclosure No. 2 files and
2 Drgms

Refer. Govt
M.L.
9/10/09

Submitting Records
& report relating to
the Rathotsavam
of Arkeswara Swami
at Guttal village
Mandya Taluk

No. 260
Enc. No. Memo and 2 files.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 7th October 1909.

To
K. S. Chandrasekharaiya Esq., B.A., B.L.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 883 dated 24th September and reminder No. 1007 dated 5th October 1909 Dr. D. 223-09-4 requesting to stop the Rathotsavam of Arkesvaraswami at Guttal village Madya Taluk and to submit the record together with report, I have the honor to submit herewith a memo of the case together with records on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

District Magistrate
and
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

News

There is a temple called Arkeswara Swami in the Guttal village of Mandya Taluk. The car of the temple was in disrepair. The car festival had not taken place for the past 40 or 50 years.

Very recently with the subscription raised by the inhabitants of the village and devotees to the deity in question, the car was repaired at a cost of Rupees Three thousand and five hundred. In June 1907 the Jamindis of the village arranged for the Car festival according to Agama Sastra of their own. Then the Brahmins presented a petition to the Amildar of Mandya Taluk stating that they had a right to the 'Puja'

During

during the Rathotsavam from time immemorial and that the Pammadis could not celebrate the Car festival independently themselves.

The witnesses examined by both parties speak that they have their own respective rights of Puja, but no documentary evidence was produced by either party with the exception of the Copy of the Copper 'Sasana' which gives right of Puja of the deity in question to the Sivayars

The Amildar states that there is no doubt that the Sivachars have exclusive right of performing the Puja at all times and that whether the right exists also at the Rathotsavam time is not proved. He also states that it is but right for the

Sivachars

Swachars to allow the Brahminical ceremonies during the Car festival as the Brahmins have also subscribed for the repairs of the Car. The Amildar opined finally that no license could be granted to either party until and unless the parties come to an amicable settlement or establish their respective right of worshipping the deity in a Competent Court: Vide Amildar's Report No. 144 & 29th March 1908 to the Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate French Rocks Sub Division.

Thereupon Mr B. Krishna Rao the then Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate agreeing with the Amildar refused to grant the license to either party

party and submitted records for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate. Vide Order dated 4th August 1908

Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate on perusing the records and on hearing the parties passed order directing the Amildar of Mandya to grant license to the Sammadis to conduct the Rathotsavam according to the Vaigayat Sastram and to direct the Brahmins to establish their right of Puja at the Rathotsava time in the Competent Court. Vide Order dated 13th August 1909 in Miss. Appeal Petition No. 3 of 1907-08

The Brahmins not having been satisfied with the District Magistrate's

Magistrate's order have appealed to the Government.

On receipt of the Government Order the Amildar of Mandya Taluk was directed to stop the Rathotsava which would be performed on the 27th September 1909 and submit the records on the Subject.

The entire records on the subject are herewith submitted.

K Chandy

District Magistrate
and
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

G.R.S. 20]

Draft as per orders

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 2066

Mrz. 7 223-09-5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The Supdt of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,

Date of issue 17th February 1910

Signed by W. C. S. B-Myers

Sir,

I am directed to state that the Inam Enquiry if the Inamdar is desirous of the Ankeswara Swamy temple at Guthalu in the Maidya Taluk which is endowed with the marginally-noted Inam lands, he should enquire if the Inam to the temple is supported by any Sanad and if so to request that the original document or a certified copy thereof may kindly be submitted to this office with the Inam extract pertaining to the grant of the Inam lands for the up-keep of the temple.

S.No	Extent	assessment
239	A 10-20	11-00
37, 234, 248	—	5-35 — 31-8-6

refer above —

over.

SUBJECT.

2 The favour of a
compliance
Very early ~~reply~~ is requested

I have the
Cr's order)

for Secretary

4/5/40

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

D 1627

1909-1910

Accts 223-[illegible]-1

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govern-ment of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, General and Revenue Department

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24th } February 1910
Received

General No. 69
No.

Enclosure No 1

Forwards, an extract of the Inam Register of Lands endowed to Sri Arkeswaraswami Temple at Guttalu in the Mandya Taluk.

GPB--2,00,000--12 1 09

Inam Superintendent's Office.

No 69
Encl

Dated, Bangalore 23/24th February 1910

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Department

Sir,

As requested in your letter no:
2066
dated 17th February 1910, I have
MuzF 223-09-5
the honor to forward herewith, an extract of the
Inam Register of lands endowed to Sri —
Kesavaswami temple at Guttalu in the
Mandya Taluk and to state that the Inam
was confirmed on the Jodi account of 1874
and not on the production of any Sanad.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Narasimha Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Copy of the

Register of Inams in the village of Guttalu in the

CLASS EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or other	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and fractions in decimals
1	2		4	5
(384)				
Title Deed No 225			Huzur jodi account 1846.	
	Devadaya		Krishnaraj	
		Dry Nos 161, 176, 163, 177, 164, 172, 165, 168, 169, 175, 166, 167, 170 & 171.	K. K. P " — 13 — 12	
		Wet Nos 423, 422, 943, 965, 944, 966, 421, 942, 967, 418, 940, 941, 968, 419, 420, 439, 969 & 970.	2 - 16 — 8	
			3 - 10 — 4.	
Title Deed No 52732 Date of presn 1-7-80 Date of issue To Talook			" —	

Sammat of Kotha thi in the Taluk of Mandya.

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for lands, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
		For Archakarana's Temple in this village which as per Amildi certificate is in good order & services rendered daily.	

F. V = Rs. a. p
1-4 = 9-1-6
-5-11 = 21-13-1
-6-4 = 30-14-7.

F V = Rs. a. p
5-11-11 = 14-8-9

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the main has been subdivided, the name, &c. of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Guttala
Arkaishwara
Manager protem

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses pending validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Whole grant, allowable. To be confirmed on ½ Quit Rent 8-2-11 plus jodi 14-8-9 in all Rupees 23-11-11 Rule V. Compulsory. 1 Title Deed. [Signed] Syed Nusrodeen Assistant. 21-12-78.	1. Confirmed. [Signed] Robt. Cole. Supt. of Inam Settls. in Mysore. 1-6-80.
	"Copy" D Rama Rao Superintendent of Inam Settls. in Mysore.
Copy Inam, Superintendent's Office Bangalore 23rd/24th February 1910.	

Proceedings of the Court of the Magistrate of Negapatam

Received—

Petition dated 21st September 1909 from Vijayaraghava Mudaliar praying a grant of the order of the District Magistrate of Negapatam directing the grant of a licence in the name of Ramanuja Jeyaraja Sastriar to celebrate the ceremony of Upanayanam.

Records of the case received with the letter No. 2860/09/D2 dated 4th October 1909 from the District Magistrate, Negapatam.

No. 457 Temple Entry Cases.
223-09-8

Order thereon

The Sri Parthasarathi Temple at Sriperumbudur is a temple entered in the list of

day known as Meenam 16 cars, as it is festival and assessed at Rs. 62 8. On account of the disuse of this car there was no car procession for about 50 years. Lately the devotees having felt and a keen desire arose between the Brahmins of this place and the Shudras persons to whom should consecrate this car and officiate during this car festival the District Magistrate having been petitioned to grant a license to the Shudras to substitute this car festival first desired to examine the records to see if it was not for the Magistrate Dept to decide this matter

2. The case was heard before first on the 19th January 1910. The parties appeared in person and were also represented by advocates.

3. There is no doubt that the ordinary procession of the temple car belongs to the Brahmins but the Brahmins claim that on special occasions

they have a right to view the Temple and North side, and that on the occasion of consecrating a new altar, they should be present at the ceremonies. 4. The evidence has not been satisfactorily taken, and much of the whole is hearsay and no doubt on the one side, is of little value.†

5. In a case like this, where by clearing the right of way a thoroughfare is opened which is used by the public generally and the objectors have not shown any reason to the contrary, and† under all the circumstances of the case — 6. I do not decide to interfere in this matter but leave the parties to proceed as they may be advised, free to enforce their several rights in such manner as they may deem proper.

3/
1/3/1910
Jas Stephens 3/2

To The Municipal Corporation, Inverness
Copy sent to Mr. Fraser

† The case was one eminently suited for determination by Compromise, and the D.C. would have done well to direct the local officers to exert themselves to bring about. But there is little advantage likely to result in directing any further investigation of the matter

† they have had sufficient time to enable them to do so if they chose.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Petition dated 21st September 1909 from Viswesvariah and other Brahmins of Guttalu appealing against the order of the District Magistrate of Mysore directing the grant of a license to the thammadi pujaris of the Sri Arkeswaraswami Temple at Guttalu to celebrate the car procession of that idol.

Records of the case received with letter No.560 dated 7th October 1909 from the Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Mysore District.

Order thereon No. 651 / Cons 22 - 7 - 5

Dated, Bangalore, August 1910.

The Sri Arkeswaraswami Temple at Guttalu is a Muzrai institution endowed with wet and dry Inam lands measuring 16 acres and 15 guntas and assessed at Rs.42-0-0. On account of the disrepair of the car there has no car procession for about 50 years. Latterly the devotees having got out a new car, disputes arose between the Brahmins of the place and the thammadi pujaris as to who should consecrate the car and officiate during the car festival. The District Magistrate having seen fit to grant a license to the thammadis to celebrate the car festival, Government decided to examine the records to see if it was not for the Muzrai Department to decide the matter.

2. The case was heard before Government on the 18th January 1910. The parties appeared in person and were also represented by Advocates.

3. There is no doubt that the ordinary pujaris of the temple are thammadis. This is not denied on the part of the Brahmins. But the Brahmins claim that on special occasions they have a right to enter the Temple and worship the idol, and that on the occasion of consecrating a new ratha, they should perform the initial ceremonies.

4. The evidence has not been regularly taken, and much of what

what is produced as documentary evidence on both sides is of little value. The case was one eminently suited for determination by compromise, and the Deputy Commissioner would have done well to direct the local officers to exert themselves to bring it about. But there is little advantage likely to result in directing any further investigation of the matter.

3. In a case like this, the party claiming the right of occasional entry should prove his case. The matter is apparently one which is not excluded from the jurisdiction of the Civil Courts and the objectors have not elected to resort to such courts, and they have had sufficient time to enable them to do so if they chose.

6. Under all the circumstances of the case Government decline to interfere in the Mukrui Department and leave the District Magistrate free to enforce his order in such manner as may seem proper.

For Offg. Secy. to Govt.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Thana, returning records.

B.L.
3

By order,

9/5/1910

Secretary.

8/8/10

No. 1:5c

Copy to the Manager, Bank (Sivalingappa of Immadi Bannur Hobli, T. Narasipura Taluk).

5/8/1910

No 7 Petition No 1917 from Thomas Cavalcanti for P.P.A.

Prays for the issue of early orders in the matter.

No 8 To the Govt Pleader & District Magistrate Chingleput No 457 of 17.8.20

Declines to interfere with the orders passed by the District Magistrate

No 9. To Veeraswami & Ethiraja Ayyangar No 458 of 17

Intimates the above

To Lavalingapathy Gurkal

Forwards copy of the above